

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignis 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,745	03/06/20	02	Yasuo Suzuki	220335US0	5248
22850	7590 03	7/24/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.				EXAMINER	
	940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHAPMAN, MARK A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1756	10
				DATE 3 # A 7 LETS - 07/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/090,745	SUZUKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark A. Chapman	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u>	MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	June 2003 .						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal m	natters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2002</u> is/are:	•	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.					
Attachment(s)	no priority under 30 O.S.	C. 33 120 dilu/01 121.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a coating material and method of making the same coating material, classified in class 106, subclass 236.
 - II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a photoconductor, classified in class 430, subclass60.
 - III. Claims 16-18, drawn to an electrophotographic apparatus and related process cartridge, classified in class 399, subclass 159.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II, III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a paint or other conventional polymer containing coating and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the

Art Unit: 1756

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as photoconductors useful in other apparatus such as solar cells or other photosensitive applications and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement because of the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 703-308-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1756

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

MC July 22, 2003